SINGLE BENCH

(3) C.F. 1001/-
(5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 815 /2007

PETITIONER

Padum Kumar Sahu,
S/o. Shri Thandaram Sahu
Aged about 40 years,
Presently working as
Assistant Teacher,
T.W.P., Govt. Higher
Secondary School, Pali,
District Korba, (C.G.)

P.R. No. 97817
Presented by Adv. R.K. Pali
Dated 05/02/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
School Education
Department, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
5/2/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Development
Department, Korba
District Korba(C.G.)

3. District Education
Officer, Korba
District Korba (C.G.)

4. Principal,
Govt. Boys' Higher
Secondary School,
T.W.P., Pali, Korba,
District Korba (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA
MALVIYA
CG/2073/98

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS

(72)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 815 of 2007

Petitioner : Padum Kumar Sahu

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Present: Mrs. Fouzia Mirza, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 12th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the question of law involved in this petition is governed by the Circular dated 20-1-1987. The High Court of Madhya Pradesh in Indraveer Singh Tomar vs. State of M.P. and others[1] has considered the issue and disposed of the said matter in following terms:-

> Pare 2 "..... In view of this submission advanced by Counsel for parties, this petition is disposed of by giving following directions. The respondent No. 1 shall consider petitioner's representations referred to above and dispose of the same at an early date, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of communication of this order in accordance with law, keeping in mind the circulars issued by State Govt. as also the directions given by the Tribunal in similar matters."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the parties would jointly submit and admit that this case, being similar in nature, is squarely covered by the decision of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in the case of Indraveer Singh Tomar (Supra).

3. In view of aforestated reasons, this petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2004(2) M.P.H.T. 3(NOC)

Subhash